

Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

Persistent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/140855>

How to cite:

Please refer to published version for the most recent bibliographic citation information. If a published version is known of, the repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing it.

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk.



**Airway management during in-hospital cardiac arrest
(IHCA) in adults: UK national survey and interview study
with anaesthetic and intensive care trainees**

Journal:	<i>Journal of the Intensive Care Society</i>
Manuscript ID	INC-20-0112.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Jul-2020
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Goodwin, Laura; University of the West of England Bristol, Health and Applied Sciences Samuel, Katie; North Bristol NHS Trust Schofield, Behnaz; University of the West of England Bristol, Health and Applied Sciences Voss, Sarah; University of the West of England Bristol, Health and Applied Sciences Brett, Stephen; Imperial College London, Surgery and Cancer Couper, Keith; University of Warwick; University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust Gould, Douglas; Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre, Harrison, David; Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre, Clinical Trials Unit Lall, Ranjit; University of Warwick, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit Nolan, Jerry; University of Warwick; Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust Perkins, Gavin; University of Warwick; University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust Soar, Jasmeet; North Bristol NHS Trust Thomas, Matthew; University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust Benger, Jonathan; University of the West of England Bristol; University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust</p>
Keywords:	airway management, cardiac arrest, supraglottic airway, tracheal intubation, in-hospital cardiac arrest
Abstract:	<p>Background: The optimal airway management strategy for in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) is unknown.</p> <p>Methods: An online survey and telephone interviews with anaesthetic and intensive care trainee doctors identified by the United Kingdom (UK) Research and Audit Federation of Trainees. Questions explored IHCA frequency, grade and specialty of those attending, proportion of patients receiving advanced airway management, airway strategies immediately available, and views on a randomised trial of airway management strategies during IHCA.</p> <p>Results: Completed surveys were received from 128 hospital sites (76% response rate). Adult IHCAs were attended by anaesthesia staff at 40 sites (31%), intensive care staff at 37 sites (29%), and a combination of specialties at 51 sites (40%). The majority (123/128, 96%) of</p>

	<p>respondents reported immediate access to both tracheal intubation (TI) and supraglottic airways (SGAs). A bag-mask technique was used 'very frequently' or 'frequently' during IHCA by 111/128 (87%) of respondents, followed by SGAs (101/128, 79%) and TI (69/128, 54%). The majority (60/100, 60%) of respondents estimated that $\leq 30\%$ of IHCA patients undergo TI, while 34 (34%) estimated this to be between 31-70%. Most respondents (102/128, 80%) would be 'likely' or 'very likely' to recruit future patients to a trial of alternative airway management strategies during IHCA. Interview data identified several barriers and facilitators to conducting research on airway management in IHCA.</p> <p>Conclusion: There is variation in airway management strategies for adult IHCA across the UK. Most respondents would be willing to take part in a randomised trial of airway management during IHCA.</p>

Dr Laura Goodwin
University of the West of England
Faculty of Health and Applied Sciences
Room 1H14, Glenside Campus
Bristol, BS16 1DD
Email: Laura.Goodwin@uwe.ac.uk
Twitter: @laurakgoodwin

Title: Airway management during in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) in adults: UK national survey and interview study with anaesthetic and intensive care trainees

Laura Goodwin¹, Katie Samuel², Behnaz Schofield¹, Sarah Voss¹, Stephen J. Brett³, Keith Couper^{4,5}, Doug Gould⁶, David Harrison⁶, Ranjit Lall⁴, Jerry P. Nolan^{4,7}, Gavin D. Perkins^{4,5}, Jasmeet Soar², Matthew Thomas⁸ & Jonathan Benger^{1,8}, in collaboration with the Research and Audit Federation of Trainees (RAFT) network.

¹ University of the West of England, Bristol, United Kingdom

² North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, United Kingdom

³ Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

⁴ University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

⁵ University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK

⁶ Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre (ICNARC), London, United Kingdom

⁷ Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust, Bath, United Kingdom

⁸ University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, United Kingdom

Running title: National survey of UK airway management for IHCA

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust [RCF-SI-2019-01]. GDP is supported National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration (ARC) West Midlands and NIHR Senior Investigator. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Keywords for indexing

Airway management, cardiac arrest, in-hospital cardiac arrest, supraglottic airway, tracheal intubation

Abstract

Background: The optimal airway management strategy for in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) is unknown.

Methods: An online survey and telephone interviews with anaesthetic and intensive care trainee doctors identified by the United Kingdom (UK) Research and Audit Federation of Trainees. Questions explored IHCA frequency, grade and specialty of those attending, proportion of patients receiving advanced airway management, airway strategies immediately available, and views on a randomised trial of airway management strategies during IHCA.

Results: Completed surveys were received from 128 hospital sites (76% response rate). Adult IHCAs were attended by anaesthesia staff at 40 sites (31%), intensive care staff at 37 sites (29%), and a combination of specialties at 51 sites (40%). The majority (123/128, 96%) of respondents reported immediate access to both tracheal intubation (TI) and supraglottic airways (SGAs). A bag-mask technique was used ‘very frequently’ or ‘frequently’ during IHCA by 111/128 (87%) of respondents, followed by SGAs (101/128, 79%) and TI (69/128, 54%). The majority (60/100, 60%) of respondents estimated that $\leq 30\%$ of IHCA patients undergo TI, while 34 (34%) estimated this to be between 31-70%. Most respondents (102/128, 80%) would be ‘likely’ or ‘very likely’ to recruit future patients to a trial of alternative airway management strategies during IHCA. Interview data identified several barriers and facilitators to conducting research on airway management in IHCA.

Conclusions: There is variation in airway management strategies for adult IHCA across the UK. Most respondents would be willing to take part in a randomised trial of airway management during IHCA.

Keywords: Airway management, airway devices, cardiac arrest, intensive care, in-hospital cardiac arrest, supraglottic airway, tracheal intubation

Introduction

In-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) is an important health problem; current survival to hospital discharge is approximately 24% for all IHCA patients,¹ but may be closer to 10% for those requiring advanced airway management in the United Kingdom (UK) population (Couper K, personal communication, 2020). Airway management is an integral part of cardiac arrest management, and may affect patient outcome.² There is limited evidence on the optimal approach to airway management during adult IHCA,² however an observational study from the United States documented an association between the use of tracheal intubation (TI) during IHCA and decreased survival to hospital discharge.³

While TI has been considered the definitive technique for advanced airway management,⁴ recent randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) suggest there may be advantages to using supraglottic airway (SGA) devices instead of TI.^{5,6}

Differences in the skills and experience of the healthcare professionals responsible for airway management⁷ and clinical differences between IHCA and OHCA patients make it unclear whether these advantages are transferable to the in-hospital setting.^{4,8-11} However, there are theoretical advantages to using a SGA over TI during IHCA: SGAs are generally quicker and easier to insert,¹² may reduce the frequency and duration of pauses in chest compression,¹³ and can be inserted safely by a wider range of hospital staff.¹²

The International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) has called for high quality research to determine the best approach to airway management during IHCA.¹⁴ However, questions remain about the feasibility of conducting a trial in this area because it is unclear what proportion of IHCA calls require advanced airway management, whether there is equipoise regarding airway

management strategies, and whether a trial of advanced airway management strategies during IHCA would be feasible and acceptable to practitioners.

We conducted a national survey of UK NHS staff responsible for airway management during IHCA, and follow up telephone interviews with a selection of participants.

The aims of this project were:

1. To examine current airway management practice during adult in-hospital cardiac arrest;
2. To explore participants’ attitudes to potential participation in a randomised trial of airway management during IHCA;
3. To explore the feasibility of proposed aspects of trial design, and identify potential barriers and facilitators.

Methods

Approval for data collection from all hospitals was obtained from the University of the West of England, Bristol Faculty of Health and Applied Sciences Research Ethics Committee (HAS.19.10.055, 15.11.2019). Information about the study was provided to all participants before informed consent was obtained. This included a description of the purpose of the study, what would be involved in participation, details of data confidentiality and storage, a privacy notice, and contact information for the study team. Written and verbal consent was obtained for all participants taking part in the interviews. Participants were required to confirm consent electronically before the first survey question was presented.

Eligible participants were anaesthetic and intensive care trainees with responsibility for adult IHCA airway management at their UK hospital site. Potential participants were identified through the

Research and Audit Federation of Trainees (RAFT) – a collaborative UK-wide trainee led research group comprised of multiple regional trainee research networks.

An online survey (Appendix 1) was designed and piloted by the study team and prepared in Qualtrics (Provo, Utah). **It was then piloted again for usability and technical functionality.** One response was sought per hospital site; RAFT distributed the survey link via email to one eligible trainee at each hospital site covered by the RAFT UK network (n =168). Trainees completed the survey between December 2019 and January 2020. The survey comprised 12 questions with a mixture of multiple-choice answers and free text responses. **A maximum of four items were displayed on any one survey page, and the full survey was distributed over approximately 7 pages. A progress bar was shown at the top of the page as the respondent was completing the survey.** Questions explored: responsibility for airway management during IHCA (specialty, grade); the availability and use of different airway management techniques; estimated incidence of IHCA; the proportion of IHCA patients who receive advanced airway management; whether there was equipoise for the research question; willingness to participate in research comparing different methods of airway management during IHCA.

Between January and February 2020, a sub-set of trainees (n = 17) were selected using a representative sampling approach (one participant per geographical trainee regional network), and invited to take part in a semi-structured telephone interview at a time convenient to them. An interview topic guide (Appendix 2) was designed to explore barriers and facilitators to the design and conduct of a randomised trial of airway management during IHCA. Interviews lasted approximately 10 minutes and were conducted by authors LG, BS and SV and recorded using Skype for Business.

Data were analysed using a modified 'following a thread'¹⁵ approach, beginning with an initial analysis of each dataset to identify key concepts requiring further exploration.

Quantitative survey data were collated using Qualtrics and exported to Microsoft Excel, where duplicate and incomplete responses were removed. Data were then analysed and presented using

1
2
3 simple descriptive statistics. Free-text responses to the online survey were exported to Microsoft
4
5 Excel and coded into categories for analysis.
6
7

8 Two researchers independently listened to the audio recordings from the telephone interviews,
9
10 made notes on emerging concepts, and recorded verbatim quotes in a Microsoft Word document.
11
12 Concepts and quotes were shared between the researchers, and reviewed collaboratively to discuss
13
14 interpretation of the data.
15
16

17
18 Concepts from one dataset were then followed across to the other to create a thread of findings
19
20 which integrated the focus and specificity of the quantitative data with the richness of the
21
22 qualitative data.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Results**
31

32
33 A total of 140 responses to the survey were received, and after exclusion of **partial** (n = 1) and
34
35 duplicate (n = 13) responses there were 128 completed surveys: a response rate of 76%. There was
36
37 representation from all constituent countries of the UK, with a reasonable spread of responses
38
39 geographically (Figure 1) [insert Figure 1.], apart from the East of England where RAFT is not
40
41 represented. Survey data can be found in the supplementary materials. Seventeen trainees
42
43 participated in individual semi-structured telephone interviews.
44
45
46
47

48 *Current airway management practice during IHCA*
49

50
51 In the 128 hospital sites, adult IHCAs were attended by anaesthesia staff at 40 sites (31%), intensive
52
53 care staff at 37 sites (29%), and a combination of specialties at 51 sites (40%). Other specialties
54
55 attending adult IHCAs included medical teams and operating department practitioners. The grade of
56
57 staff with responsibility for advanced airway management at adult IHCAs varied significantly across
58
59 all hospital sites. This is detailed in table 1.
60

Table 1: Grade of practitioner with responsibility for airway management during adult IHCA at all participating UK hospital sites

Grade of practitioner responsible for airway management during IHCA	Number of hospital sites where this practitioner has responsibility, as a proportion of all responding hospital sites (n = 128)
F2	10 (8%)
CT1-3 or equivalent	76 (60%)
CT4-7 or equivalent	82 (64%)
Non-consultant career-grade doctor or equivalent	29 (23%)
Consultant or equivalent	10 (8%)
Critical care practitioner/advanced clinical practitioner	14 (11%)
Nurse	2 (2%)
Other	6 (5%)

**At many sites, more than one practitioner may have responsibility for airway management during adult IHCA.*

The majority (123/128, 96%) of respondents reported the availability of both TI and SGAs for airway management during IHCA. Of the 126 sites with TI available during IHCA, 30 (24%) reported the availability of videolaryngoscopes. Of the 125 sites with SGA devices available during IHCA, 120 (96%) reported availability of the i-gel, 44 (35%) reported availability of the laryngeal mask airway (LMA), and 7 (6%) reported availability of the laryngeal tube. The majority (81/125, 65%) reported that only one type of SGA device was available during adult IHCA; for 77 (62%) respondents this was the i-gel and for 4 (3%) respondents this was the LMA.

When asked about additional aids during adult IHCA, a skilled assistant was immediately available to 61/128 (48%) respondents, 95 (74%) had an intubating bougie immediately available, colorimetric capnography was available to 38 (30%), and waveform capnography was available to 67 (52%).

Fifteen of the 128 respondents (12%) reported that none of these aids were immediately available during adult IHCA, and free-text answers suggested a disparity of equipment availability between wards; *'[only] some areas outside ICU do have waveform capnography and videolaryngoscopy.*

Sometimes I take the [videolaryngoscopy] from ICU to arrests with the transfer bag'.

Respondents also suggested that available equipment was not always suitable for the patient they were attending; one respondent commented ‘*our Trust only provides size 4 i-gel on cardiac arrest trolleys*’. Others reported that the full range of airway management techniques, including fibreoptic scopes and front of neck access, were ‘*available to anaesthesia, but are not available on the standard arrest trolley*’.

The use of different airway management techniques was compared by the percentage of respondents using the technique either ‘very frequently’ or ‘frequently’; bag-mask was chosen ‘very frequently’ or ‘frequently’ by 111/128 (87%) of respondents, followed by SGAs (101/128, 79%) and then TI (69/128, 54%). Free-text responses emphasised the importance of patient history, underlying diagnosis and patient co-morbidity in decisions regarding which airway management technique should be used. The ‘*perception of whether or not patients would be a candidate for ICU*’ was also cited as influencing this decision, along with factors including airway soiling and adequacy of the SGA seal.

When asked to estimate the number of IHCA patients who currently undergo TI prior to achieving a return of spontaneous circulation, or the cessation of resuscitation attempts, the majority (60/100, 60%) estimated this to be 30% or fewer, while 34 (34%) estimated this proportion to be between 31-70%, and 6 (6%) estimated this at more than 70%.

Taking part in a trial of airway management during IHCA

Attitudes towards a randomised trial of airway management strategies during IHCA were largely positive; the majority (102/128, 80%) of survey respondents said they would be either ‘likely’ or ‘very likely’ to take part in this type of study. Respondents who said they were ‘unlikely’ (5/128, 4%) or ‘very unlikely’ (2/128, 2%) to take part indicated ethical concerns with randomising patients to one airway management technique or another. There were also concerns about the practicality of

individual patient randomisation, availability of equipment and the need for a definitive airway in patients who would require ongoing care.

Interview data reflected survey results; 16 of the 17 interviewees reported that they would participate in a trial of airway management strategies and felt it was an important research question to address.

There's nothing great in the literature on whether or not one's better at securing the airway during a cardiac arrest than the other. There's different skill sets involved [in using each strategy]. So obviously if an SGA is deemed to be comparable to TI then that's probably for the better - Interview 3

Participants also confirmed that there is considerable variation in how airway management decisions are made, and that having a 'best practice' standard would aid this decision-making.

I think that to get a general answer [on which strategy to use] would certainly be useful. And I think probably a randomised controlled trial is the best way of doing that, and is going to give us the best answer – Interview 6

However, a minority of participants expressed concerns that a trial might constitute a change in practice at their hospital. One such concern was that randomisation could place increased burden on the intensive care unit (ICU), as '[the] tube commits people to ICU'. Another participant stated that they would have to call a second person if they were going to insert a tracheal tube at an IHCA.

These additional requirements led participants to suggest that there would need to be 'buy-in' from ICU and senior members of staff to facilitate a potential trial.

When reflecting on additional barriers and facilitators to the design of a potential trial, participants suggested that the ability to use clinical judgment where appropriate should be emphasised, in order to increase the acceptability of a randomised trial design.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The urgency with which resuscitation needs to commence was noted by participants as a potential barrier to randomisation of patients, as the method of randomisation would need to be straightforward and rapid.

Once you arrive you have got to get involved quite quickly, so it would have to be something you could do very quickly...because as soon as you arrive, someone expects for you to take over the airway – Interview 4

There was also discussion regarding the timing of randomisation; while some participants suggested that this should happen on the way to an arrest call, others felt it was appropriate to randomise a patient once an arrest had been confirmed.

Some participants proposed that another staff member, such as a resuscitation officer or research nurse, could support recruitment either by randomising patients themselves or by reminding the recruiting doctor to do so.

Discussion

We identified considerable diversity in airway management practice for IHCA across the UK; the grade and speciality of the individual responsible for airway management varied significantly across hospital sites. This has implications for airway management during IHCA because the choice of technique may depend on the skill of the provider, and the support available to them.² Tracheal intubation may therefore be used more frequently in hospitals where more experienced clinicians are responsible for airway management during IHCA.

Our findings indicate that both TI and SGAs are commonly available to those responsible for airway management during IHCA, and that airway management technique is dependent on operator choice and judgement. While SGAs were indicated as being used slightly more frequently than TI, it is

unclear whether this is due to personal preference, related to practitioners' individual skill and experience, or whether practitioners insert an SGA before moving on to TI in a step-wise approach. A stepwise approach to airway management is recommended in current guidelines for both IHCA and OHCA.¹⁶ However, during interviews with paramedics who took part in a trial of advanced airway management for OHCA, some indicated that they would always use this step-wise approach, while others described using their professional judgement and clinical skills to decide which technique to use depending on the clinical situation.^{17,18}

Both survey and interview participants suggested it would be feasible and acceptable to conduct a randomised trial of airway management during IHCA, and a number of suggestions were made about the design of a potential trial. As TI and SGA devices are both readily available and used with similar frequency, participants felt there was equipoise in relation to this research question. Randomisation would not cause substantial divergence from usual practice and would therefore not be ethically controversial. This is important, as previous literature suggests that for research to be successful the enrolment of patients into a research study must be simple and integrated into current practice as far as possible.¹⁹

Respondents' willingness to take part in emergency care research is supported by findings from prehospital literature, where practitioners have expressed positive attitudes towards taking part in trials, both in general,¹⁹ and specifically of airway management during cardiac arrest.¹⁷ In a follow-up study of paramedics who took part in the AIRWAYS-2 trial, both survey respondents and interview participants were comfortable with enrolling critically unwell patients into a randomised controlled trial using either deferred or a waiver of consent.¹⁷

There are some limitations to our study. Only one response was sought per hospital site and these responses were based on participants' reports and not externally validated. As such, there is the possibility of recall bias. However, all responses were from anaesthetic and intensive care trainees with responsibility for airway management during IHCA, who would therefore be best placed to

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

provide accurate information on this topic. The participants of this study were identified by members of RAFT, which is a research organisation. It is therefore possible that this sample of trainees would be more willing to take part in an RCT than those who are not research active.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first survey of current airway management strategy for adult IHCA in the UK, with a high response rate and excellent representation of UK geographical training regions. As such, we suggest that the survey findings are a reliable description of current practice in the UK.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates considerable variation in current airway management during IHCA in the UK. The majority of respondents felt that a randomised trial of airway management for IHCA was important and would be willing to take part in such a study.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Research and Audit Federation of Trainees (RAFT) network who assisted with identifying participants, and to the following individuals who assisted with data collection: I Abdelkhakek, M Alexander, T Alexander, D Barretto, B Baxter, R Berwick, AJ Boulton, K Bull, A Chamberlain, F Cook, J Cronin, C Couzens, J Eady, A Evans, J Fletcher, AJ Gardner, S Gilliland, M Gudipati, S Goodhand, WM Hare, A Hassan, R Hawes, R Ibrahim, M Ince, K James, M Jarvis, N Jones, P Keane, S Lee, A Lim, N Littley, S Marsden, J Mathiszig-Lee, C Maxwell, S May, V McAllister, J McCarter, T Moody, R Mudie, LR Nanda, S Natarajan, M Nordblad, AD Patil, D Perry, S Peacock, C Riley, K Roberson, N Roberts, J Sakathevan, A Sangam, S Scott, C Seeley, P Shekar, S Siew, M Sinnott, J Slattery, EJ Smith, M Smith, S Thoms, S Traynor, T Trouton, A Williams, C Wearmouth, C Yates.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust [RCF-SI-2019-01]. GDP is supported National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration (ARC) West Midlands and NIHR Senior Investigator. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

References

1. Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre. Key statistics from the national cardiac arrest audit 2018-19. Available from <http://www.icnarc.org/Our-Audit/Audits/Ncaa/Reports/Key-Statistics>. Updated 2019 (accessed 15 March, 2020).

2. Granfeldt A, Avis SR, Nicholson TC, et al. Advanced airway management during adult cardiac arrest: A systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2019; 139: 133-143.

3. Andersen LW, Granfeldt A, Callaway CW, et al. Association between tracheal intubation during adult in-hospital cardiac arrest and survival. *JAMA* 2017; 317(5): 494-506.

4. Soar J, Nolan JP. Airway management in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2013; 19(3): 181-187.

5. Wang HE, Schmicker RH, Daya MR, et al. Effect of a strategy of initial laryngeal tube insertion vs endotracheal intubation on 72-hour survival in adults with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2018; 320(8): 769-778.

6. Bengert JR, Kirby K, Black S, et al. Effect of a strategy of a supraglottic airway device vs tracheal intubation during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest on functional outcome: The AIRWAYS-2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2018; 320(8): 779-791.

7. Fredriksson M, Aune S, Bång A, et al. Cardiac arrest outside and inside hospital in a community: Mechanisms behind the differences in outcome and outcome in relation to time of arrest. *Am Heart J* 2010; 159(5): 749-756.

8. Daya MR, Schmicker RH, Zive DM, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival improving over time: Results from the resuscitation outcomes consortium (ROC). *Resuscitation* 2015; 91: 108-115.

9. Hasselqvist-Ax I, Riva G, Herlitz J, et al. Early cardiopulmonary resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2015; 372(24): 2307-2315.

10. Bergum D, Nordseth T, Mjølstad OC, Skogvoll E, Haugen BO. Causes of in-hospital cardiac arrest—incidences and rate of recognition. *Resuscitation*. 2015; 87: 63-68.

11. Girotra S, Nallamothu BK, Spertus JA, et al. Trends in survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367(20): 1912-1920.

12. Wang HE, Bengert JR. Endotracheal intubation during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: New insights from recent clinical trials. *JACEP Open* 2020; 1: 24– 29.

13. Kurz MC, Prince DK, Christenson J, et al. Association of advanced airway device with chest compression fraction during out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary arrest. *Resuscitation* 2016; 98: 35-40.

14. Soar J, Maconochie I, Wyckoff MH, et al. 2019 international consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science with treatment recommendations: Summary from the basic life support; advanced life support; pediatric life support; neonatal life support; education, implementation, and teams; and first aid task forces. *Circulation* 2019; 140(24): 826-880.
15. Moran-Ellis J, Alexander VD, Cronin A, et al. Triangulation and integration: processes, claims and implications. *Qualitative Research* 2006; 6(1): 45-59.
16. Soar J, Nolan JP, Böttiger BW, et al. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2015: Section 3. adult advanced life support. *Resuscitation* 2015; 95: 100-147.
17. Kirby K, Brandling J, Robinson M, et al. The experiences of EMS providers taking part in a large randomised trial of airway management during out of hospital cardiac arrest, and the impact on their views and practice. results of a survey and telephone interviews. *Resuscitation* 2020; 149: 1-9.
18. Voss S, Rhys M, Coates D, et al. How do paramedics manage the airway during out of hospital cardiac arrest? *Resuscitation* 2014; 85(12):1662-1666.
19. Schmidt TA, Nelson M, Daya M, et al. Emergency medical service providers' attitudes and experiences regarding enrolling patients in clinical research trials. *Prehospital Emergency Care* 2009; 13(2): 160-168.



Figure 1: Map of hospital sites from which responses were received*
*RAFT does not have representation in the East of England or Northern Scotland

251x270mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Appendix one: Survey Questions

Q1) In which hospital site do you work currently?

Please type out the name in full

Q2) In your hospital, which speciality attends adult IHCA calls with the responsibility for airway management?

Tick more than one, if applicable.

Anaesthesia

Intensive Care

Other (please state) _____

Don't know

Q3) In your hospital, which grade of practitioner on the cardiac arrest team usually has responsibility for airway management?

Tick more than one, if applicable.

F2

CT1-3 or equivalent

CT4-7 or equivalent

Non-consultant career grade doctor or equivalent

Consultant or equivalent

Critical care practitioner/advanced clinical practitioner

Nurse

Other (please state) _____

Don't know

Q4) When you attend a confirmed adult IHCA, which of the following airway management options are available to you (i.e. both the equipment is readily available, and you have the skills and support to complete the intervention successfully)?

Please indicate all available options.

Basic airway techniques (bag-mask ventilation with or without oral or nasal airways)

Supraglottic airway (SGA) (e.g. LMA, i-gel, laryngeal tube)

Tracheal intubation using direct laryngoscopy

Tracheal intubation using indirect (video) laryngoscopy

Other (please state) _____

None of the above

Q5) Which SGA devices are immediately available for use during IHCA in your hospital?

Please indicate all available options.

LMA

i-gel

laryngeal tube

Q6) When you attend a confirmed adult IHCA, which of the following are immediately available to you?

Please indicate all available options.

Skilled assistant (e.g. ODP, anaesthetic nurse)

Intubating bougie

Colorimetric capnography

Waveform capnography

None of the above

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Q7) In your opinion, what proportion of IHCA patients in your hospital undergo tracheal intubation prior to achieving a return of spontaneous circulation or being pronounced dead?

<10%
10-30%
31-50%
51-70%
71-90%
>90%
Don't know

Q8) Please indicate the frequency with which you personally use the following airway management techniques during IHCA:

	Very frequently	Frequently	Sometimes	Rarely	Very rarely/Never
Pocket mask with or without supplemental oxygen	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Bag-valve mask	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
SGA: LMA	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
SGA: i-gel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
SGA: laryngeal tube	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tracheal intubation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please state if applicable)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q9) How important do you think it is to do a Randomised Controlled Trial of tracheal intubation during IHCA and its effect on long-term outcomes?

Very important
Important
Neither important or unimportant
Not very important
Not at all important

Q10) How likely would you be to participate in a Randomised Controlled Trial of tracheal intubation during IHCA if patient allocation occurred via an app downloaded to your smartphone?

Very likely

Likely

Neither likely nor unlikely

Unlikely

Very unlikely

Q11) Please provide a reason for this answer

Q12) Is there anything you would like to add about airway management during IHCA?

For Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Appendix Two: Interview topic guide

- 1) Explore their experience of in hospital cardiac arrest airways management
 - a. How are you personally involved in IHCA?

- 2) We would like to conduct a randomised controlled trial of airway management strategies for in hospital cardiac arrest. *(Describe the proposed methodology)*.
 - a. Would you participate in such a study? What makes you say that? Why?
 - b. How can we make it more practical for a cardiac arrest scenario?
 - c. When would be a good time to press the randomisation button? Can you see this being at the bedside once the cardiac arrest has been confirmed and you have decided to proceed with Advanced Airway Management?
 - d. Should we change anything? If so what?

Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES)*

Item category	Checklist item	Page no.	Description
Design	Study design	Pg 4	The target population was anaesthetic and intensive care trainees responsible for adult IHCA airway management in the UK, and were identified through the Research and Audit Federation of Trainees (RAFT); a collaborative UK-wide trainee led research group comprised of multiple regional trainee research networks. One participant was sought per hospital site covered by RAFT.
Ethics	Ethics approval	Pg 4	This work was classed as a service evaluation, and as such ethics approval was obtained from the University of the West of England, Bristol Faculty of Health and Applied Sciences Research Ethics Committee (HAS.19.10.055, 15.11.2019), to cover data collection from all hospitals.
	Informed consent	Pg 4	Informed consent for the survey was obtained from all those agreeing to complete a survey, with participant information displayed on the welcome page that the survey would take approximately 10 minutes to complete, that all responses were confidential and anonymous, and that data would be stored and analysed on password protected encrypted computers at UWE, accessed only by the study team, and deleted once the study findings are accepted for publication. A Privacy Notice was also available to download, and contact details were displayed for the research team. Consent was indicated when respondents clicked the 'I consent' button at the bottom of this page. For interviews, informed consent was obtained through signed consent forms, after participants had been emailed a copy of the participant information sheet and privacy notice. Consent was then re-confirmed verbally at the start of the interview.
	Data protection	Pg 4	No personal information was collected (Random IDs were given to participants in case they wished to withdraw their data). Survey data are stored on a secure server at UWE Bristol and the survey platform 'Qualtrics' (approved by UWE Bristol) for data security.
Development and pre-testing	Development and testing	Pg 4	The survey was designed using input from earlier research and review by the research team (healthcare professionals and researchers). A draft survey was piloted by members of the research team, as well as doctors responsible for airway management, who were included in the final sample.
Recruitment Process	Open vs closed Survey	Pg 4	This was a closed survey https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/inc

			Journal of the Intensive Care Society
	Contact mode	Pg 4	RAFT distributed the participant information and survey link via email to one eligible trainee at each hospital site covered by the RAFT UK network.
Recruitment process (cont'd)	Advertising the survey	Pg 4	The survey was not advertised; as above, RAFT sent the survey link via email.
Survey administration	Web/email	Pg 4	This was a web-based survey, hosted by the survey platform 'Qualtrics'
	Context	Pg 4	Qualtrics is an online survey platform.
	Mandatory/voluntary	Pg 4	Voluntary.
	Incentives	N/A	Survey respondents were offered acknowledgement on a resulting publication from the survey results. If respondents wished to be acknowledged, they were asked to complete a separate survey which asked for their name and email address. This was not linked to the survey results in any way.
	Time/date	Pg 4	Responses were collected between December 2019 and January 2020.

Item category	Checklist item	Page no.	Description
	Item randomisation	Appendix 1	No randomisation of items was used.
	Adaptive questioning	Appendix 1	Adaptive questioning (branched) was used. Relevant survey items were displayed based on previous responses (e.g. only those who had SGAs available to them during IHCA were shown the follow-up question about which SGA devices were available).
	Number of items	Appendix 1	A maximum of four items were displayed on any one survey page.
	Number of screens	Appendix 1	The full survey was distributed over approximately 7 pages. A progress bar was shown at the top of the page, as the respondent was completing the survey.
	Completeness check	Appendix 1	All survey items were deemed to be mandatory, and respondents prompted to complete outstanding items before leaving the survey page on which the item was contained. Most items, except screener items and those items required for adaptive questioning included a 'Don't know/ none of the above' option.
	Review step	Appendix 1	Respondents were able to change their responses using a "Back" button at the bottom of each screen.
Response rates	Unique site visitor	Pg 4	Determination of unique visitors was handled by checking that each hospital site only had one response.
	View rate	N/A	Not applicable; respondents were invited through RAFT.
	Participation rate	N/A	Not applicable; respondents were invited through RAFT.

Item category	Checklist item	Page no.	Description
	Completion rate	Pg 6	Of the 140 respondents who commenced the survey, 139 completed it, giving a completion rate of 99%. However, 13 were duplicate responses.
Preventing multiple entries from same individual	Cookies used	N/A	Not used
	IP check	N/A	Not used to maintain anonymity.
	Log file analysis	N/A	Not used.
	Registration	Pg 4, pg 6	A login was not used - entry to the survey was via a web link emailed to eligible participants. Duplicate entries were found through the first survey question (a free-text response of which hospital site the respondent was based at). Where duplicate responses were found, the first entry was kept for analysis.
Analysis	Handling of incomplete questionnaires	Pg 6	Only completed questionnaires were included in the final dataset.
	Questionnaires with atypical timestamp	N/A	No respondents were removed from the survey for completing the items too quickly.
	Statistical correction	N/A	No weighting scheme was used for the analysis of results.

* Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). J Med Internet Res 2004;6:e34.

Titles and descriptions for supplemental material

Appendix one

Title: Appendix one: Survey questions. Supplemental material for Airway management during in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) in adults: UK national survey and interview study.

Description: Appendix one: Survey questions. Supplemental material, for Airway management during in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) in adults: UK national survey and interview study, by Goodwin L, Samuel K, Schofield B, et al in JICS.

Appendix two

Title: Appendix two: Interview topic guide. Supplemental material for Airway management during in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) in adults: UK national survey and interview study.

Description: Appendix two: Interview topic guide. Supplemental material for Airway management during in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) in adults: UK national survey and interview study, by Goodwin L, Samuel K, Schofield B, et al in JICS.